

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,086	06/29/2001	Jason Benfield	AUS920010378US1	6710
75	90 03/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Joseph R. Burwell			PORTKA, GARY J	
Law Office of Jo P.O. Box 28022	oseph R. Burwell		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78			2188	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	09/895,086	BENFIELD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Gary J Portka	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communicati  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>une 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	·		is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application	).		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are: a		o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	· ·		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ved.	
Attachment(s)	<b>4</b> ,□	(DTO 440)	
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office	,		

Application/Control Number: 09/895,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 2188

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-40 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 17-20, 22-27, 35-38, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nori et al., U.S. Patent 6,061,690.
- 4. As to claims 1, 19, and 37, Nori discloses a method, apparatus with means, and program product for creating a skeleton cache that stores full and skeleton objects, where a skeleton object has at least one attribute that is dataless, and has a corresponding full object without the skeleton cache. See Fig. 4, and col. 10 line 15 to col. 11 line 21, in particular col. 10 lines 55-58 and col. 10 line 65 to col. 11 line 2.
- 5. As to claims 2, 20, and 38, Nori discloses receiving a skeleton definition associated with an object type indicating if an attribute is dataless, since the ADT identifies if an object has a collection attribute, which is dataless when requested to the cache.
- 6. As to claims 4 and 22, Nori discloses requesting a second attribute value of a second object as recited, since any number of objects may be requested, and for any cache access it is determined if the data is within the object in the cache.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 2188

7. As to claims 5 and 23, Nori discloses when the object is full, retrieving the attribute from the cache, since clearly if the attribute is hit in the cache it is retrieved from there.

- 8. As to claims 6-8 and 24-26, Nori discloses the recited determinations and retrieving, since the cited sections describe retrieving attributes from the cache or from the secondary storage if not in the cache.
- 9. As to claims 9, 27, and 40, Nori discloses a policy as recited, since a skeleton cache is created when an object has a collection attribute.
- 10. As to claims 17 and 35, Nori discloses a database interface component with a skeleton handler, since as described in the cited sections a requester interfaces with an object that may or may not be entirely in the cache.
- 11. As to claims 18 and 36, Nori discloses a policy as recited, since a skeleton cache to the extent recited is formed when an object has a collection attribute.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 10-12 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nori et al., U.S. Patent 6,061,690.
- 14. As to claims 10-12 and 28-30, Nori does not disclose configurable conditions based on identity of a user or their class, or of a device. However, these are well known

Application/Control Number: 09/895,086 Page 4

Art Unit: 2188

identifications used for determining accessibility to a system, and as such would have been included in conditions required for determining whether to create a skeleton cache to the extent recited. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to base the determination on these conditions, because they were known to be used for restricting or providing accessibility to a system.

- 15. Claims 13-16 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nori et al., U.S. Patent 6,061,690, in view of Veres et al., U.S. Patent 6,609,186 B1.
- 16. As to claims 13-16 and 31-34, Nori does not disclose configurable conditions base on available memory, network bandwidth, nor temporal evaluation of updates. However, Veres describes an analogous system in that it also provides for reducing a stored object to some subset of it's original size (see Abstract, Fig. 5). Veres teaches that parts of an object are advantageously deleted as a function of constraints in memory available (col. 1 lines 38-43, network bandwidth (col. 2 lines 34-38), and temporal evaluation of updates (col. 2 lines 22-26). An artisan would have recognized that these could provide the same functionality to the system of Nori because it also reduces the size of a stored object. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to base determination on these conditions, because they were known to benefit the controlling of reducing object size in a storage.

Art Unit: 2188

## Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 3, 21, and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent No:

6,128,623 High performance object cache.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary J Portka whose telephone number is (703) 305-4033. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2188

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary J Portka Primary Examiner Art Unit 2188

Sang Water

March 2, 2004